

TABLE 1. • *National Academies of Sciences – Compared**

	est.	continuity: research institutes	recognised academic elite class	status of the na- tional academy	how does it compare to do- mestic research generally	degrees granted by the national academy	role in funding research	accredi- tation role	respect and lobbying power
Latvia	1946 (1815)	completely restruc- tured in 1992	yes, but no remuneration	autonomous membership- based body without research institutes or employed researchers	only honor- ary	only symbolic role	no	neutral, episodic	
Poland	1952 (1800)	5 faculties, 69 research institutes, 5270 re- searchers	yes, 491 mem- bers (of whom 310 are do- mestic), with remuneration	leading research insti- tution	small portion of researchers (about 6%)	yes, same as in HE	separate institu- tion: National Science Centre (NCS), a govern- ment agency, supervised by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education	sepa- rate institu- tion: Polish Accred- itation Com- mittee	academic prestige but low lobbying power
Czech Republic	1953 (1784)	public research institutes	no such mem- bership	no special sta- tus, one among many	18% of re- searchers	only honor- ary (not even PhD)	used to have a Grant Agency, now closed	no	high respect for scientistis

* In addition to the authors of the comparative chapters, the editors would like to thank the input from György Darvas (Symmetria, Hungary), Mítka Dimitrov (Economic Research Institute, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences), Nadezhda Gaponenko (Institute for the Study of the Russian Academy of Sciences / Russian Office of the Millennium Project / Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration), Juraj Marušák (Institute of Political Science, Slovak Academy of Sciences) and Aivars Tabuns (Department of Sociology, University of Latvia).

	<i>est.</i>	<i>continuity: research institutes</i>	<i>recognised academic elite class</i>	<i>status of the national academy</i>	<i>how does it compare to domestic research generally</i>	<i>degrees granted by the national academy</i>	<i>role in funding research</i>	<i>accreditation role</i>	<i>respect and lobbying power</i>
Slovakia	1953 (1792)	3,200 researchers	cancelled in 1990 as politically compromised		smaller portion of researchers, higher publication and citation output (48% of the latter)	yes, Dr.Sc. (not compatible abroad)			
Hungary	1825	approx. 5,000 researchers	yes, max. 365 academicians at a time, with remuneration	autonomous, membership-based body with 44 research institutes, seen as the main academic body	around 30% of internationally visible publications, approx. 25% of researchers	yes, DSc (not compatible abroad)	separate institution (National Research, Development and Innovation Office), some funding possibilities (e.g. for postdocs)	no (separate Accreditation Committee)	high respect for scientists, varying lobbying power
Romania	1866	66 institutes, 1844 researchers	yes, 157 members now, with remuneration	important research institution, with 66 institutes and research centres	10% of researchers;	yes, PhD	separate institutions: e.g. Executive Agency for Higher Education, Research, Development and Innovation	no	high public confidence
Bulgaria	1869	reduced number of researchers	yes, 200 academics, renumerated (of whom 120 corresponding members)	main research centre (2/3 of publications and patents) with 42 institutes	1/3 of research budget to the Academy, 3,000 researchers (20,000 in HE)	same as in HE	separate institution: National Fund for Scientific Research	no (Higher Attestation Commission)	academic prestige but low lobbying power (see earlier political will to close down the Academy)

	<i>est.</i>	<i>continuity:</i> research institutes	<i>recognised academic elite class</i>	<i>status of the na- tional academy</i>	<i>how does it compare to do- mestic research generally</i>	<i>degrees granted by the national academy</i>	<i>role in funding research</i>	<i>accredi- tation role</i>	<i>respect and lobbying power</i>
Ukraine	1918	6 State Academies, most important (National Academy of Science of Ukraine – NAS) with 168 scientific institutes, 46 scientific-industrial organizations, and 18,346 researchers	yes, e.g. NAS contains up to 200 members and up to 400 corresponding members, with remuneration (strong socialist legacy)	main research and expert centre, but not backed up by academic output	almost exclusive place of research (equipment)	yes, PhD and Dr.Sci (not compatible abroad)	only funds its own research	no	close political ties, weak ties with the public
Russia	1724	839 research institutes, 68,284 researchers	yes, 912 academics, 1132 corresponding members	main research and expert center of the country; the main mission is the generation of basic knowledge for economic growth, technological progress, and country competitiveness	20% of research institutes, 18% of researchers, about 50% of papers cited on Web of Science	the same as HE	financed through the National Program of Basic Research and Programs of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences	no	high public confidence